

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,821	03/09/2001		Akira Sakaigawa	55702 (70840)	6625
21874	7590	08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
		GELL, LLP	LESPERANCE, JEAN E		
P.O. BOX : BOSTON,		)5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2674	
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/802,821	SAKAIGAWA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jean E. Lesperance	2674

Belove the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jean E. Lesperance	2674						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered I	necause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	insideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	occurac					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);	. — <b>,</b> ,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: In independent claim 1, the limitations "who period, to obtain a desired aligning direction of the voltage of the write signal applied to one of the plup plurality of first electrodes" are considered as new 41.33(a)).	liquid crystal material, which varies Irality of first electrodes in the first p	in voltage in accorda period is applied to the	nce with the one of the					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)    wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.								
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-10.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	latica of Appeal will n	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13.  Other:	PATRICK N. ED	OUARD						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AT 1. Louis